



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/982,817	10/22/2001	Satoshi Banno	Q66668	8303

7590 10/06/2004
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037-3202

EXAMINER

IQBAL, KHAWAR

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2686

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/982,817

Applicant(s)

BANNO, SATOSHI

Examiner

Khawar Iqbal

Art Unit

2686

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claim 1,3-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Corbett et al (6351642).

3. Regarding claim 1 Corbett et al teaches a mobile telephone comprising (figs. 1,5):

a first acquisition means, which acquires position information of a base station (BS1) having a stored position (col. 5, lines 1-25); a second acquisition means, which acquires position information for a current position (MS) (col. 5, lines 1-25);

a calculation means, which, based on said position information acquired by said first acquisition means and said second acquisition means and position information input for the target position (BS2), calculates an angle formed between a line joining said current position and said base station and a line joining said current position and said target position (col. 5, lines 26-53, col. 8, lines 8-37, figs. 5,6);

a first locating means, which, based on a received level of a signal transmitted from said base station, locates a direction to a location of said base station (col. 5, lines

Art Unit: 2686

26-53, col. 8, lines 8-37, fig. 5); and a second locating means, which, based on said direction located by said first locating means and said angle calculated by said calculation means, locates a direction to said target position (col. 5, lines 26-53, col. 8, lines 8-37, fig. 5).

Regarding claim 3 Corbett et al teaches a directional antenna receiving a signal transmitted from said base station; and a calculation means calculating a field strength of said received signal, wherein, said first locating means determines a calculated direction having a maximum field strength as a direction to a position of said base station (col. 5, lines 26-53, col. 8, lines 8-37, fig. 5).

Regarding claim 4 Corbett et al teaches wherein said second acquisition means acquires position information of said current position, based on signals sent from a plurality of GPS satellites (col. 4, lines 30-55).

Regarding claim 7 Corbett et al teaches a mobile telephone comprising (figs. 1-8):

a controller, which acquires position information of a base station (col. 5, lines 1-25);

a receiving antenna, which acquires position information of a current position of said mobile telephone (col. 4, lines 30-50, col. 5, lines 1-25);

a directional antenna and a strength indicator, which, based on a received level of a signal transmitted from said base station, locate a direction to a location of said base station (col. 5, lines 26-53, col. 8, lines 8-55, fig. 5);

a calculation section, which, based on said base station position information and current mobile telephone position information, and position information input for the target position, calculates an angle formed between a line joining said current position and said base station and a line joining said current mobile telephone position and said target position, and based on said located direction and said calculated angle, locates a direction to said target position (col. 5, lines 26-53, col. 8, lines 8-37, fig. 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2,5,6,8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corbett et al (6351642) and further in view of Takeshi (JP 10-281801).

6. Regarding claims 2,5,8,10 Corbett et al does not specifically teach second locating means is caused to be indicated on a display.

In an analogous art, Takeshi teaches second locating means is caused to be indicated on a display (page 9, para. # 0080-0084, see above). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Corbett et al by specifically adding features in order to enhance second locating means is caused to be indicated on a display to increasing the efficiency of the communication system as taught by Takeshi.

Regarding claims 6,11 Corbett et al teaches which transmits its own position information in response to a request from said mobile telephone (col. 5, lines 26-53, col. 8, lines 8-37, fig. 5).

Regarding claim 9 Corbett et al teaches wherein said second acquisition means acquires position information of said current position, based on signals sent from a plurality of GPS satellites (col. 4, lines 30-55).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7-27-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner has thoroughly reviewed applicant's arguments but firmly believes the cited references to reasonable and properly meets the claimed limitations. In regard to applicant's arguments against Corbett et al and Takeshi, the examiner considers Corbett et al to merely teach, "position information input for the target position" (col. 5, lines 26-53, col. 8, lines 8-37, fig. 5). Also Corbett et al teaches first position information received from first base station (BS1, (X1, Y1), fig 5, col. 5, lines 26-53), second position (mobile station (X, Y), fig 5, received from GPS system or other system and target (third) position (second base station) information received from Base station (i.e. target position, BS2, (X2, Y2), fig 5, col. 5, lines 26-53). Additionally, the examiner has given the claim language its broadest reasonable interpretation. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Anticipatory reference need not duplicate, word for word, what is in

claims; anticipation can occur when claimed limitation is "inherent" or otherwise implicit in relevant reference (Standard Havens products Incorporated v. Gencor Industries Incorporated, 21 USPQ2d 1321). In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAWAR IQBAL whose telephone number is 703-306-3015.

Art Unit: 2686

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **BANKS-HAROLD, MARSHA**, can be reached at 703-305-4379.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2684 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Khawar Iqbal

Marsha D Banks-Harold
MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600